

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,279	02/24/2004	Takuzo Takayama	249172US2TTCX	8912
22859 7550 100682009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			WOODS, TERESA S	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3686	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/06/2009	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/784,279 TAKAYAMA ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit TERESA WOODS 3686 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07/14/09. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3686 Page 2

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in reply to the application filed on 02/24/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-64 are currently pending and have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd Paragraph

 The rejections to claims 1-61 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, are herby withdrawn due to the amendment filed 05/21/09.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

 The rejections to claims 1-64 under 35 U.S.C. 101 are herby withdrawn due to the amendment filed 05/21/09.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
   USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 1, 6-8, 10, 12, 17-29, 32-47, 49, 60 and 63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
   103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence (US 6,272,481 B1) in view of Guan (US 2002/0194029 A1).
- Claim 1:

Lawrence, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 an input unit configured to input patient condition information from the remote terminal through the network (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 15, lines 54-65);

Art Unit: 3686 Page 4

This citation describes a hospital network where a patient's medical conditions can be entered using a computer. Also, the figures show the network physician and patient access points which are used as input units.

 a transmitter configured to transmit the first doctor information to the remote terminal (see at least column 15, lines 54-64).

This citation describes a hospital network where a doctor can enter a patient's medical information using a computer remotely. Lawrence discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence does not disclose the following limitation, but Guan discloses:

 a first processor configured to collect first doctor information based on the patient condition information; and (see at least ¶0012, ¶0020)

The first citation describes a hospital network where a multitude of doctors, referral doctors, specialist and medical staff members have access to inputting patient's medical information. The second citation describes how a multitude of patient medical records can be used by doctors to diagnose patients. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence's computer input unit and transmitter with Guan's ability for doctors to collect a patient's condition-based information to provide a more comprehensive way to update a patients medical records. The benefit is to save time diagnosing a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 5

#### Claim 6:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Furthermore, Lawrence discloses wherein a medical specialist for the patient is determined based on the first doctor information (see at least column 7, lines 56-60). The citation describes the referral process used when an unusual and specific medical condition occurs in this hospital system.

## 10. Claim 7:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses wherein the input unit is configured to input patient identification information from the remote terminal (see at least column 20, lines 15-30). The citation describes a remotely accessed processor used to access a patient's information with an identification card.

## 11. Claim 8:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses further comprising a second processor configured to collect patient information based on the patient identification information, and wherein the first processor collects the first doctor information based on the patient condition information and the patient information (see at

Art Unit: 3686 Page 6

least column 20, lines 15-30; column 17, lines 21-33). The first citation describes a processor used to access a patient's information with an identification card. The second citation describes the multi-processor used to store patient information or conditions.

## 12. Claim 10:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses wherein the system is connected to a database storing at least the patient information, and wherein the second processor collects the patient information from the database (see at least column 15, line 65 to column 16, line 17).

## 13. Claim 12:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit the patient condition information and the patient information to a first doctor determined based on the first doctor information by the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 7, lines 24-31, column 8, lines 28-36). In this citation, a physician access point unit is serving as transmitter to transmit patient information and data.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 7

# 14. Claims 17-29, 32-42, 45:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses:

- 17. further comprising a third processor configured to establish a connection between the remote terminal and a second remote terminal used by the first doctor (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 18. wherein the first processor is further configured to collect second doctor information based on the patient condition information, and wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit the second doctor information to the remote terminal and a second remote terminal used by the first doctor (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).
- 19. further comprising a database configured to store the second doctor information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 20. wherein the system is connected to a database storing at least the second doctor information, wherein the first processor collects the second doctor information from the database (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 8

21. The system according to claim 20, wherein the database is provided at an
external location (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60,
column 15, lines 54-65).

- 22. wherein the input unit is configured to input patient identification information from the remote terminal (see at least column 20, lines 14-31).
- 23. further comprising a second processor configured to collect patient information based on the patient identification information, wherein the first processor collects the second doctor information based on the patient condition information and the patient information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 24. wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit the patient condition information and the patient information to a second doctor determined based on the second doctor information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 25. wherein the second doctor is a medical specialist (see at least column 13, lines 27-31).
- 26. wherein the second doctor is an interpretation doctor (see at least column 11, lines 18-22).
- 27. wherein the first processor is configured to collect medical facility information based on the patient condition information, and wherein the transmitter is configured to transmit the medical facility information to the remote terminal and

Art Unit: 3686 Page 9

a second remote terminal used by a first doctor determined based on the first doctor information by the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).

- 28. further comprising a database configured to store the medical facility information (see at least column 10, lines 36-60).
- 29. wherein the system is connected to a database storing at least the medical facility information, wherein the processor collects the medical facility information from the database (see at least column 10, lines 36-60).
- 32. further comprising a second processor configured to collect patient
  information based on the patient identification information, and wherein the first
  processor collects the medical facility information based on the patient condition
  information and the patient information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5,
  column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).
- 33. wherein the transmitter is further configured to transmit the patient condition information and the patient information to a medical facility determined based on the medical facility information (see at least Fig. 2, column 7, lines 5-14, column 10, lines 36-60).
- 34. further comprising a second processor configured to collect medical information based on the patient condition information, and wherein the transmitter is further configured to transmit the medical information to the remote terminal and a second remote terminal used by a first doctor determined based

Art Unit: 3686 Page 10

on the first doctor information by the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).

- 35. further comprising a database configured to store the medical information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 36. wherein the system is connected to a database storing at least the medical information, wherein the second processor collects the medical information from the database (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 37. wherein the database is provided at an external location (see at least Fig. 2, column 7. lines 5-14).
- 38. wherein the input unit is further configured to input patient identification information from the remote terminal (see at least column 20, lines 14-31).
- 39. wherein the second processor is configured to collect patient information based on the patient identification information, and wherein the second processor collects the medical information based on the patient condition information and the patient information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).
- 40. further comprising a third processor configured to establish a connection between the second remote terminal and a third remote terminal used by the

Art Unit: 3686 Page 11

second doctor (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).

- 41. further comprising a third processor configured to establish a connection between a third remote terminal used by the second doctor and a medical facility (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 42. further comprising a third processor configured to establish a connection between the second remote terminal and the medical facility (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, Fig. 4, Fig. 5, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65).
- 45. wherein the first patient information includes patient identification information and patient health condition information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 10, lines 36-60, column 15, lines 54-65, column 20, lines 14-31).

These citations as a whole, show Lawrence's hospital network with three major processors with databases that transmit and receive patient medical information, patient medical conditions, medical facility information, verify the proper deductions necessary in medical procedures and doctor referral system when a patient's conditions change. Also, some of the figures show network physician and patient access points which are terminals being used remotely. Lawrence's hospital network discloses all limitations mentioned above.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 12

## 15. Claim 43:

Lawrence, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 a processor configured to collect medical facility information based on the patient location information; and (see at least Fig. 2, column 6, lines 16-35)

This citation shows a hospital network with processors being utilized among multiple medical facilities.

 a display unit configured to display the medical facility information (See at least column 5, line 48 to column 6, line 9).

Tasks carried out at various medical facilities are based on computer displayable information designated for a particular medical facility.

 a display unit configured to display the medical facility information. (See at least column 5. line 48 to column 6. line 9).

Tasks carried out at various medical facilities are based on computer displayable information designated for a particular medical facility.

 a transmitter configured to transmit the medical facility information to the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 2, column 6, lines 16-35).

This citation shows a hospital network with computers being used as access points among multiple medical facilities to transmit information remotely. Lawrence discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence does not disclose the following limitation, but Guan discloses:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 13

 an input unit configured to input patient location information from the remote terminal through the network, the patient location information indicating the current physical location of the patient (see at least ¶0011, ¶0012, ¶0024).

In the second citation, portably accessed and updated capabilities serve as remotely inputting patient information. Again, in the third citation, a patient's physical location is known throughout with both remote locations and within the care providing facility.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence's computer input unit and transmitter with Guan's ability for doctors to collect a patient's condition-based information to provide a more comprehensive way to update a patients medical records. The benefit is to save time diagnosing a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

#### 16. Claim 44:

Lawrence, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 an input unit configured to input first patient information and use information from the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 15, lines 54-65);

This citation describes a hospital network where a patient's medical information can be entered using a computer. Also, the figures show the network physician and patient access points which are used as input units.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 14

 a transmitter configured to transmit the second patient information to the remote terminal (see at least Fig. 1. Fig. 2, column 15, lines 54-65).

This citation describes a hospital network where a patient's medical information can be transmitted using a computer. Also, the figures show network physician and patient access points being available remotely.

a processor configured to make a request to one or more of the databases so as
to collect second patient information based on first patient information and the
user information (see at least Column 5, line 48 to column 6, line 14).

The first citation describes a hospital network where a multitude of databases and processors are used. This citation describes a multitude of patient information accessible within the network.

 a display unit configured to display the medical facility information (See at least column 5, line 48 to column 6, line 9).

Tasks carried out at various medical facilities are based on computer displayable information designated for a particular medical facility.

Lawrence discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence does not disclose the following limitation, but Guan discloses:

 an input unit configured to input patient location information from the remote terminal through the network, the patient location information indicating the current physical location of the patient (see at least ¶0011, ¶0012, ¶0024).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 15

In the second citation, portably accessed and updated capabilities serve as remotely inputting patient information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence's computer input unit and transmitter with Guan's ability for doctors to collect a patient's condition-based information and patient's location to provide a more comprehensive way to update a patients medical records. The benefit is to save time diagnosing a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

#### 17. Claim 46:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses wherein the use information includes user identification information, user role information, and situation information (Law, see at least Fig. 3, column 10, lines 53-60). In this citation, the situation and user role information is shown in the form of medical resources being allocated depending on the medical situation of the patient. It also makes reference to the variety of services performed depending on the situation of the patient.

## 18. Claim 47:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan don't disclose the following limitations. Lawrence

Art Unit: 3686 Page 16

further discloses wherein the situation information includes user location information (see at least Fig. 3, column 5, lines 53-63, column 10, lines 53-60). In this citation, user location is anywhere an individual can collect information about the patient remotely within the network. It also makes reference to appropriate medical facilities depending on patient's medical needs.

## 19. Claim 49:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further discloses further comprising a deduction unit configured to deduce a medical condition of the patient based on the first and second patient information (Law, see at least column 15, lines 54-64). In this citation, a computer is the unit used to deduce a medical problem with a solution. The first and second patient information is the plurality of task involved when diagnosing a medical patient.

## 20. Claim 60:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence further disclose further comprising a second processor configured to request the remote terminal to input additional information when the additional missing is determined to be missing (Law see at least column 8, lines 24-34, column 16, lines 46-51). In this citation, the emphasis is requesting

Art Unit: 3686 Page 17

additional information when no conclusive diagnosis can be determined. The second citation emphasizes on end users having the ability to request additional information, remotely.

#### 21. Claim 63:

Lawrence, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 making a request to one or more databases so as to collect second patient information based on the first patient information and the use information and (see at least Fig. 2, column 15, line 40 to column 16, line 45);

The first citation describes an expert system using a general patient database to gather patient information.

 transmitting the second patient information to the remote terminal as the certain information (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 15, lines 54-65).

This citation describes a hospital network where a patient's medical information can be transmitted using a computer. Also, the figures show network physician and patient access points being available remotely. Lawrence discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence does not disclose the following limitation, but Guan discloses:

 inputting, by a user of the remote terminal, first patient information and use information and user information of the user of the remote terminal from the remote terminal (G, see at least ¶0011, ¶0012, ¶0024);

Art Unit: 3686 Page 18

In the second citation, portably accessed and updated capabilities serve as remotely inputting patient information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence's computer input unit and transmitter with Guan's ability for doctors to collect a patient's condition-based information to provide a more comprehensive way to update a patients medical records. The benefit is to save time diagnosing a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

 Claims 14, 31 and 58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence (US 6,272,481 B1) in view of Guan (US 2002/0194029 A1) further in view of Guan (US 2002/0194029 A1).

## 23. Claim 14:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose the following limitations. Guan further discloses wherein the remote terminal is used in an ambulance (Guan, see at least ¶0028). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber

Art Unit: 3686 Page 19

hospital system with Guan's ambulance access, because it would save time providing urgent medical care to a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

#### 24. Claim 31:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose the following limitations. Lawrence does disclose wherein the input unit is further configured to input patient identification information from the remote terminal (see at least column 20, lines 14-31). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with Lawrence's ability to enter patient identification information remotely, because it would save time retrieving medical data of a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

## 25. Claim 58:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose the following limitations. Guan further discloses further comprising a preparation unit configured to prepare a display window to be displayed in the remote terminal, the display window including a virtual patient body, wherein the second patient information relating to

Art Unit: 3686 Page 20

a part designated on the virtual patient body is displayed in the display window Guan, Fig. 1, ¶0026). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with Guan's patient body images on a display screen because, it would save time when interpreting doctors need to see images needed while diagnosing patient. Therefore, this would help to shorten the time it takes for a doctor to diagnose a medical patient.

Claims 2-5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 30, 48, 50-57, 59, 61, 62 and 64 are rejected under
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lawrence (US 6,272,481 B1) in view of Guan (US 2002/0194029 A1) further in view of Official Notice.

## 27. Claim 2:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose further comprising a database configured to store the first doctor information. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the medical arts for a doctor to store general and patient information in a database. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an ability to store general and patient information in a database because, it would help to update a

Art Unit: 3686 Page 21

patients medical records. The benefit is to save time diagnosing a patient.

Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

#### 28 Claim 3:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the system is connected to a database storing at least the first doctor information, and wherein the first processor collects the first doctor information from the database. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the medical arts for a doctor to store information in a database that uses processors. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an ability to store information in a database that uses processors because, it would help to update a patients medical records. The benefit is to save time and resources diagnosing a patient. Therefore, this would help to improve the quality of healthcare.

## 29. Claim 4:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the database is provided at an external location. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old

Art Unit: 3686 Page 22

and well-known in the Information Technology (IT) arts for a network to have an externally located database processor to store doctor's information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with a remote database that uses processors because, it would help to safeguard the information stored in the database. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 30. Claim 5:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein a patient's primary physician is determined based on the first doctor information. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the medical arts to be able to retrieve a patient's primary physician based a doctor's information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an ability to determine a patient's primary because, it would help to keep track of a patient's medical records pertaining to their doctor. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

#### 31 Claim 9:

Art Unit: 3686 Page 23

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose *further comprising a database configured to store the patient information*. However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well-known in the medical arts to be able to store a patient's information in a database. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an database of patient medical records because, it would help to keep track of a patient's medical records pertaining to their doctor. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 32. Claim 11:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the database is provided at an external location. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Information Technology (IT) arts for a network to have an externally located database processor to store doctor's information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an accessible database because, it would help to safeguard the information stored

Art Unit: 3686 Page 24

in the database. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 33. Claim 13:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose the following limitations. Lawrence does disclose wherein the remote terminal is used by the patient (see at least Fig. 1, Fig. 2, column 5, lines 55-60). In this citation, a physician access point unit is serving as transmitter to transmit patient information and data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with Lawrence's patient remote access because, it would help to easily access a patient medical records pertaining to the patient. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 34. Claim 15:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the remote terminal is used in a hospital. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Information Technology (IT) arts for a network to have an

Art Unit: 3686 Page 25

externally located database processor to store doctor's information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an remote terminal because, it would help to safeguard the information stored in the database. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 35. Claim 16:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not wherein a first doctor is determined based on the first doctor information by the remote terminal. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the medical records arts for a doctor referral system to be based on remote doctor information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with a remote doctor referral system because, it would help to quickly retrieve patient information. The benefit is to improve the quality of stored patient medical records.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 26

#### 36 Claim 30:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the database is provided at an external location. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Information Technology (IT) arts for a network to have an externally located database processor to store doctor's information. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with a remote database that uses processors because, it would help to safeguard the information stored in the database. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

#### 37. Claim 48:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose wherein the processor specifies an information type for the request and makes the request based on the information type. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Medical arts for a medical network to have the ability to request medical information based on the information type because it shows the importance of data categories and organization. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine

Art Unit: 3686 Page 27

Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an organized way of requesting the correct medical information because, it would help to safeguard the information stored in the database. The benefit is to improve the integrity of stored patient medical records.

## 38. Claims 50-57, 61 and 62:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose the following limitations. Lawrence further discloses:

- 50. wherein the deduced medical condition represent a disease name.
- 51. further comprising a first preparation unit configured to prepare a medical action plan based on the deduced medical condition.
- 52. further comprising a forecast unit configured to forecast a future condition of the patient which is expected by implementing the medical action plan on the patient.
- 53. further comprising a second preparation unit configured to sort out display
  information from the deduced medical condition, the prepared medical action
  plan, and the forecast future condition and to prepare display data including the
  sorted out display information, wherein the display data are transmitted to the
  remote terminal as a part of the second patient information.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 28

54. further comprising a second preparation unit configured to prepare display
data including the deduced medical condition, the prepared medical action plan,
and the forecast future condition, wherein the display data are transmitted to the
remote terminal as a part of the second patient information.

- 55. further comprising a second processor configured to make a request to one
  or more of the databases so as to collect relating information which relates to the
  medical action plan, wherein, when detail information of the medical action plan
  is requested by the remote terminal, the relating information is transmitted to the
  remote terminal.
- 56. further comprising a forecast unit configured to forecast a future condition of
  the patient which is expected by implementing a medical practice represented in
  medical practice information on the patient when the input unit is further
  configured to input the medical practice information from the remote terminal.
- 57. wherein the transmitter is further configured to transmit the forecasted future condition to the remote terminal.
- 61. further comprising a second processor configured to collect patient
  information based on the patient identification information, to deduce a medical
  condition of the patient based on the first and patient information, to prepare a
  medical action plan based on the deduced medical condition, and to forecast a
  future condition of the patient which is expected by implementing the medical
  action plan on the patient.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 29

62. wherein the transmitter is further configured to transmit at least one of the
patient condition information, the patient information, the deduced medical
condition, the prepared medical action plan, and the forecasted future condition
to a first doctor determined based on the first doctor information by the remote
terminal.

These limitations as a whole, describe the general logistics involved when a medical patient is being diagnosed and the additional treatment planning involved when a patient requires future care to overcome an illness or disease. Also, items such as remote terminals, processors, computers used as transmit units and forecast units are all associated with a hospital network. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Medical arts for a medical network to have the ability to create future patient treatment plans because it would provide a more comprehensive network hospital system. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with the ability to plan further patient treatment beyond diagnosing because, it would help to improve the long term care of medical patients. The benefit is to improve the treatment of medical patients.

Art Unit: 3686 Page 30

#### 39 Claim 59:

Lawrence and Guan disclose the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence and Guan does not disclose further comprising a deduction unit configured to deduce a disease name of the patient based on the first and second patient information, wherein the virtual patient body is marked where the deduced disease name is related. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well-known in the Medical arts for a medical network to have the ability to deduce a disease name based on the medical condition of a patient's body part, because it shows the importance of utilizing all necessary resources when diagnosing a patient. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Lawrence and Guan's cyber hospital system with an glossary of diseases associated with medical conditions and particular body parts because, it would help with the accuracy of diagnosing medical patients. The benefit is to improve the quality of medical healthcare.

## 40. Claim 64:

Lawrence, as shown, discloses the following limitations:

 inputting patient condition information and patient identification information from a remote terminal through a network (see at least column 20, lines 14-31);

Art Unit: 3686 Page 31

 collecting patient information based on the patient identification information (see at least column 20, lines 14-31);

forecasting by a processor of a computer, a future condition of the patient that is
expected by implementing the medical action plan on the patient; and displaying
the future condition of the patient on a display (L, See at least Fig. 1, column 5,
line 48 to column 6. line 14).

Here, the medical processor serves as a processor of a computer. Provided instructions based on patient's tracked medical condition serves as a future condition of the patient that is expected by implementing the medical action plan on the patient. Also, the access point units serve to monitor and display patient conditions. Lawrence discloses the limitations as shown in the rejections above. Lawrence does not disclose the following limitations:

- deducing a medical condition of the patient based on the patient condition information and the patient information;
- preparing a medical action plan based on the deduced medical condition; and

However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well-known in the medical arts that the analysis involved with treating a patient involves deducing a medical condition, preparing a treatment plan based on a prognosis, and planning a future condition of the patient based on the progress of a treatment plan. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine Lawrence's patient identification and

Art Unit: 3686 Page 32

medical condition with a detailed patient treatment plan to avoid providing medical services to the wrong patient. Combining them would provide a more comprehensive method to diagnosis a patient. Therefore, it would improve healthcare, as we know it.

## Response to Arguments

- 41. Applicant' arguments with respect to claims 1-64 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments will be addressed herein below in the order in which they appear in the response filed 05/21/09.
- 42. Applicant's arguments that Lawrence does not disclose "an input unit configured to input patient location for a patient from the remote terminal through the network, the patient location information indicating the current physical location of the patient" with respect to claims 43-60 have been considered but are not persuasive, because Guan's patient treatment takes place at both remote locations and within the care providing facility.
- 43. Applicant's arguments that Lawrence does not disclose "a processor configured to collect medical facility information based on the patient location information" with respect to claims 43-60 have been considered but are not persuasive,

Art Unit: 3686 Page 33

because Lawrence shows a hospital network with processors being utilized among multiple medical facilities.

- 44. Applicant's arguments that Lawrence does not disclose "a transmitter configured to transmit the medical facility information to the remote terminal" with respect to claims 43-60 have been considered but are not persuasive, because Lawrence shows a hospital network with computers being used as access points among multiple medical facilities to transmit information remotely.
- 45. Applicant's arguments that Lawrence does not disclose "a display unit configured to display the medical facility information" with respect to claims 43-60 have been considered but are not persuasive, because Lawrence's system shows a hospital network with computers being used as displayable access points among multiple medical facilities to transmit information remotely.

### Conclusion

- 46. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 47. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3686 Page 34

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TERESA WOODS whose telephone number is (571) 270-5509. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 7:30am - 5:00 pm, east.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry O'Connor can be reached on (571) 272-6787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

Art Unit: 3686 Page 35

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or (571) 272-1000.

Teresa Woods, Art Unit 3686

/Gerald J. O'Connor/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 3686